

CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

Staff Meeting Minutes  
August 10, 1965  
Sacramento

Present

S. L. Barrett  
K. B. Cutler  
G. A. Hutchings  
W. J. Maynard  
R. H. Pedersen  
J. E. Walker  
D. E. Wilson

Absent

E. D. Graf  
H. H. Burlingham  
E. M. Juergenson

In the absence of Mr. Graf, who was involved in the development of an MDTA Tomato Picker Training Program, the meeting was presided over by Mr. Barrett.

The following business was conducted:

Realignment of  
Proposed Regions

It was moved, seconded and carried that the following re-alignments within the new regions be recommended by the staff.

1. Trinity County be assigned to the Northern Region rather than to the Coastal Region for the following reasons:
  - a. Due to its geographical remoteness, supervision can be more easily carried out from the contiguous counties in the Northern Region, as is now being done.
  - b. Trinity County schools are in the Redding Junior College District service area.
  - c. Trinity County is considered a part of the Redding shopping area.
  - d. Youth Activities in Trinity County lend themselves to participation in the Northern Region rather than in the Coastal Region.
  
2. Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties be part of the Coastal Region rather than Southern Region, and that the San Luis Obispo office be under the jurisdiction of the Coastal Region.
  - a. Balance of staffing in regional offices.
  - b. Balance of regional activities within the region is to the north of the San Luis Obispo office.
  - c. Accessibility of San Luis Obispo office to Oakland office for Regional Coordinators' meetings.
  - d. The ability of the San Luis Obispo office to render needed existing and future services in the Coastal Region.

- 3. Kern County be shifted from the Southern to the Northern Region.
  - a. A geographical barrier lies at the southern portion of Kern County.
  - b. Kern County is considered a San Joaquin Valley community.

Present Realignment  
of Regions for Voc.  
Education Staff

With the advent of realignment of regional offices and the possible readjustments in operations and activities; and with the intense interest in the vocational agricultural program being exhibited by certain individuals and companies, it was resolved that when questioned on the status of re-organization by these individuals and companies, that the staff members being questioned are obligated to inform the petitioner of the status of the vocational agricultural program as it exists at that time.

A recommendation was moved by Wilson, seconded by Cutler and passed that the whole reorganization structure, as being proposed, be included in the Bureau of Agricultural Education Advisory Committee Meeting on October 8th. It was also recommended that the Advisory Committee fully discuss the reorganization proposal and provide guidance for future development of the vocational agricultural program.

It was proposed by Walker that copies of the Bureau of Agricultural Education "Concepts and Beliefs" be sent to each Regional Coordinator - seconded and passed.

November Staff  
Meeting

Moved by Walker, seconded by Maynard that one-half day of the November staff meeting be set aside for re-evaluation and examination of the Bureau's functions and activities in light of the present pattern of reorganization. Appointment of a Chairman to be made by Mr. Graf.

Conflicting Dates

Moved by Wilson, seconded and passed that Mr. Graf work with other agencies and professional groups in resolving dates being superimposed on prescheduled staff meetings.

Examples:

- Jan. 11 - Staff meeting date and Regional Leadership Program
- March 7 - Meeting with some members of Bureau staff with Extension Service & Regional Leadership Program
- May 3 - State FFA Public Speaking Semi-finals and Regional Leadership Program.

Adjournment moved by Wilson, seconded, and passed at 3:45 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. Pedersen